Readers' Forum

Brief discussion of previous investigations in the aerospace sciences and technical comments on papers published in the AIAA Journal are presented in this special department. Entries must be restricted to a maximum of 1000 words, or the equivalent of one Journal page including formulas and figures. A discussion will be published as quickly as possible after receipt of the manuscript. Neither the AIAA nor its editors are responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents. Authors will be invited to reply promptly.

Comment on "Modification of the van Driest Damping Function to Include the Effects on Surface Roughness"

Paul S. Granville*

David Taylor Research Center,

Bethesda, Maryland 20084

Introduction

S proposed by Krogstad,¹ the modification of the van Driest damping function only applies accurately to the fully rough regime and very poorly to the intermediate roughness regime. This is evident in the author's Fig. 1 for the correlation of ΔU^+ with k^+ in the intermediate roughness regime, $k^+ \le 70$. Yet the intermediate roughness regime, to a large part, most of the irregular roughness problems encountered for aircraft surfaces, ship hulls, ship propellers, underwater body surfaces, etc.

There is an underlying reason for the poor showing of the proposed modification in the intermediate roughness regime. First, even though not mentioned by the author, k^+ refers to the equivalent sand grain roughness that enabled Schlichting to reduce a single relationship the variation of ΔU^+ with k^+ for the fully rough regime. However, there remains in the intermediate roughness regime a large variation in ΔU^+ with k^+ from Nikuradse's sand grain roughness to the correlation of Colebrook and White. This is due to the large variation in the geometry of irregular rough surfaces encountered in practice.

To accommodate the variation of roughness in the intermediate roughness regime, Granville² relates the van Driest factor A^+ in effect to ΔU^+ instead of k^+ in the smooth van Driest formula:

$$F = 1 - \exp[-y^+/A^+]$$

This variation of A^+ to ΔU^+ is presented by Granville graphically, which may be now numerically fitted by the following:

$$\dot{A}^{+} = 26 - 5.05 \ \Delta U + 0.19(\Delta U^{+})^{2}, \qquad 0 \le \Delta U^{+} \le 5.2$$

$$A^{+} = 20.86 - 3.07 \ \Delta U^{+}, \qquad 5.2 \le \Delta U^{+} \le 6.2$$

$$\dot{A}^{+} = 280.89 + 94.27 \ \Delta U^{+} - 7.85 \ (\Delta U^{+})^{2}$$

$$6.2 \le \Delta U^{+} \le 6.525$$

The variation of ΔU^+ with k^+ for a particular roughness configuration^{3,4} may be obtained empirically, or given analytically such as that for the Colebrook-White relation.⁵

For the fully rough regime, Granville² recommended an earlier formula by Rotta,⁶ which in effect related an initial value of mixing length at $y^+=0$ to a ΔU^+ variation.

Krogstad¹ uses a mixing length formula of Michel et al.⁷ [Eq. (5) in Ref. 1], which has a hyperbolic tangent. As shown by Granville,⁸ such hyperbolic tangent functions blend the near wall and the far-field relationships. A more complex formula should include the damping function such that

$$1^{+} = 0.085 \delta^{+} \tanh \left[\kappa y^{+} F / 0.085 \delta^{+} \right]$$

where $\delta^+ = \delta U_\tau / \nu$.

References

¹Krogstad, P.-Å., "Modification of the van Driest Damping Function to Include the Effects of Surface Roughness," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 29, No. 6, 1991, pp. 888-894.

²Granville, P. S., "Mixing-Length Formulations for Turbulent Boundary Layers over Arbitrarily Rough Surfaces," *Journal of Ship Research*, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1985, pp. 223–233.

³Granville, P. S., "Three Indirect Methods for the Drag Characterization of Arbitrarily Rough Surfaces on Flat Plates," *Journal of Ship Research*, Vol. 31, No. 1, 1987, pp. 70-77.

⁴Granville, P. S., "Drag-Characterization Methods for Arbitrarily Rough Surface by Means of Rotating Disks," *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, Vol. 104, Sept. 1982, pp. 373-377.

⁵Granville, P. S., "The Frictional Resistance and Turbulent

⁵Granville, P. S., "The Frictional Resistance and Turbulent Boundary Layer of Rough Surfaces," *Journal of Ship Research*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1958, pp. 52-74.

⁶Rotta, J., "Das in Wandnähe gulitige Geschwindigkeitsgesetz turbulenter Strömungen," *Ingenieur Archiv*, Vol. 18, 1950, pp. 277–280.
⁷Michel, R., et al., "Hypotheses on the Mixing-Length and Appli-

⁷Michel, R., et al., "Hypotheses on the Mixing-Length and Application to the Calculation of the Turbulent Boundary Layers," *Proceedings of Computation of Turbulent Boundary Layers*, AFORS-IFP-Stanford Conference, Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA, 1968.

⁸Granville, P. S., "A Near-Wall Eddy Viscosity Formula for Turbulent Boundary Layers in Pressure Gradients Suitable for Momentum, Heat or Mass Transfer," *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, Vol. 112, June 1990, pp. 240–243.

Reply by the Author to P. S. Granville

Per-Åge Krogstad* University of Trondheim, N 7034 Trondheim, Norway

The criticism by Granville¹ is based on what he considers to be a bad agreement in the intermediate roughness range between the computed shift in the law of the wall ΔU^+ and the experimental data presented in Fig. 1 in Krogstad.²

Received Oct. 18, 1991; revision received Dec. 6, 1991; accepted for publication Jan. 14, 1992. This paper is declared a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

^{*}Research Physicist, Ship Hydromechanics Department.

Received Dec. 4, 1991; accepted for publication Jan. 14, 1992. Copyright © 1992 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*}Professor, Division of Hydro- and Gas Dynamics, Kolbjørn Hejes V. 2B.

(Regrettably the abscissa label k^+ has disappeared in the figure.) It was, however, stated in the section "Turbulence Model" of Krogstad² that the major problem considered in the paper was the asymptotic behavior of the damping function proposed by van Driest³ at large roughness numbers k^+ . It was shown that for $k^+ > 100$ the original formulation fails completely and the error increases dramatically as k^+ increases. The correction proposed brings the calculations in agreement with the data in this region. Contrary to what Granville writes,¹ it is specifically stated (see, e.g., the abstract) that the shift in the law of the wall produced by the model refers to sand roughness.

It was also stated by Krogstad² that "For $k^+ < 100$, the experimental results are no longer unique since they will depend on the fraction of elements that are sufficiently small to be considered aerodynamically smooth." This statement was also supported by Fig. 1 where it is shown that even for sand grain roughness the results depend on the distribution of parti-

cles. It therefore seems unreasonable to try to match the results of a prediction method to any of the experimental distributions, and this was stated in the paper. It could, however, be mentioned that, if a particular distribution is to be matched, this may be obtained by changing the exponent in the exponential term of Eq. (7) of Krogstad.² The exponent determines the shape of the ΔU^+ curve for $k^+ < R^+$ and R^+ determines where the intermediate roughness range ends.

References

¹Granville, P. S., "Comment on 'Modification of the van Driest Damping Function to Include the Effects of Surface Roughness'," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 30, No. 6, 1992, p. 1673.

²Krogstad, P.-Å., "Modification of the van Driest Damping Function to Include the Effects of Surface Roughness," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 29, No. 6, 1991, pp. 888–894.

³Van Driest, E. R., "On Turbulent Flow Near a Wall," *Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences*, Vol. 23, Nov. 1956, pp. 1007-1011.

Best Selling Gift Books from AIAA

Augustine's Laws

Norman R. Augustine

Augustine brings into sharp focus all the long-standing myths, business cliches, traps for the unwary or naive, and complex entanglements one would ever face during a career in management.

1984, 241 pp, illus, Hardback • ISBN 0-915928-81-7 • AIAA Members \$24.95 • Nonmembers \$29.95 • Order #: 81-7 (830)

Sailloons and Fliptackers

Bernard Smith

Feel the power of a motorless waterborne machine that can make better than 43 knots in a 15-knot wind. Read this book and emulate the writer, Bernard Smith, as he moves design art toward the ultimate "sailing" machine. A beautiful book that will give you years of contemplative pleasure.

1989, 96 pp, illus, Hardback • ISBN 0-930403-65-7 • \$24.95 • Order Number: 65-7 (830)

Place your order today! Call 1-800/682-AIAA



American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Publications Customer Service, 9 Jay Gould Ct., P.O. Box 753, Waldorf, MD 20604 Phone 301/645-5643, Dept. 415, FAX 301/843-0159 Sales Tax: CA residents, 8.25%, DC, 6%. For shipping and handling add \$4.75 for 1-4 books (call for rates for higher quantities). Orders under \$50.00 must be prepaid. Please allow 4 weeks for delivery. Prices are subject to change without notice. Returns will be accepted within 15 days.